Prepped by Ryan Dugan

Document Number:

43) II-C-9

Docket Number:

A-87-08

4 NOV 1985

A-87-08 II-C-9

Mr. Claude D. Morgan, P.E. Regional Manager Engineering and Environmental Affairs Consolidation Coal Company Post Office Box 890 Bluefield, Virginia 24605

Dear Mr. Morgan:

As you know, the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is gathering background information on air pollutants and their control techniques for use in reviewing the new source performance standard (NSPS) for coal preparation plants. This standard was promulgated on January 15, 1976, and previously reviewed in 1981. The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1977 require the Administrator of the EPA to review and, if appropriate, revise established standards of performance for new stationary sources at least every 4 years. The objective of this review is to assess the need for revision to the NSPS on the basis of developments that may have occurred since the last review was performed. In order to review the NSPS, we need information on coal preparation plants and their emission control systems that are subject to the NSPS. Therefore, to use EPA and industry time efficiently in gathering data, the EPA is writing a number of companies which operate coal preparation facilities that are subject to the MSPS.

This letter is to request from Consolidation Coal Company information about the process equipment and associated emission control equipment subject to the NSPS at the following coal preparation plants operated by your company: (1) the Amonate coal preparation plant in McDowell County, West Virginia; and (2) the Buchanan Mine Mavisvale coal preparation plant in Richland, Virginia. The information requested is listed in Enclosure 1 to this letter. This information, along with analogous information from other companies, will be used to detarmine the need to revise the NSPS; to define the current status of emission control; and to assess the environmental, energy, and economic impacts associated with installation and operation of feasible emission control techniques. We wish to examine the experience you have had with the HSPS. This includes emission test results, costs of control, monitoring required by the NSPS, and maintenance of air pollution control devices. We are sensitive to the amount of labor required to respond to this request. We

			((b)	CONCURRENCES
SYMBOL	555	USS.	750	8.36.7
•			1.	
DATE	10-30-85	13-30-85	10/3//5	

have tried to limit the request to features important to regulatory review and to minimize demands on your time. Furthermore, we are requesting only information you have available (e.g., we are not requesting that you conduct emission tests to obtain emission data).

The authority for EPA's information gathering is included in Section 114 of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7414). Enclosure 2 contains a summary of this authority. If you believe that disclosure of information we request would reveal a trade secret, you should clearly identify such information as discussed in the enclosure. Any information subsequently determined to constitute a trade secret will be protected under 18 U.S.C. 1905. If no claim of confidentiality accompanies the information when it is received by EPA, it may be made available to the public by EPA without further notice (40 CFR Part 2.203, September 1, 1976). All emission data will be available to the public. It will expedite the study and simplify problems if you would separate any data claimed to be confidential from the balance of the data.

Enclosure 3 summarizes Agency and Emission Standards and Engineering Division policies and procedures for handling privileged information and describes EPA commitments and procedures for use of confidential materials. It is EPA's policy that compliance by an authorized representative with the requirements detailed in Enclosure 3 provides sufficient protection for the rights of submitters of privileged information.

If you have any questions regarding this request or are unable to provide me with responses to the enclosed questions by December 6, 1985, please contact Mr. Naum Georgieff at (919) 541-5601.

Sincerely,

Jack R. Farmer Director Emission Standards and Engineering Division

3 Enclosures

Spencer Brady Consolidation Coal Company

OAQPS:ESED:ISB:NTGEORGIEFF:mhinson:NCM:762:X5601:MD-13:FILE:

10/23/85:DISC: GEORGIEFF #2

Not subject to the requirements of Section 3507, Paperwork Reductton Act of 1980.

ENCLOSURE 1

INFORMATION REQUEST

Please respond to all questions which apply to your facility. The answers to these questions will greatly assist us in the review of the new source performance standard (NSPS) for coal preparation plants. If you are unable to respond to the question as it is stated, please provide any information that might be related. Also, you may respond "not applicable" to questions that do not apply to your plant. Your cooperation is greatly appreciated.

Α.

1. Name of plant:		
2. Name of legal owner:		
Address: (No. and Street		
(City)	(State)	(Zip)
Location of Plant (if di	fferent)	
4. Total number of employees	s at the plant: Currently	Normally _
5. What type of coal is prod	cessed at your plant?	
6. Maximum plant production	capacity (tons/hour):	
raw coal	cleaned coal	
7. The plant is normally ope	erated:	(hrs/day)
(davs/v	veek)	(weeks/year

В.	Air	Pollutant	Emissions
----	-----	-----------	-----------

1.	What are the sources of air pollutants in the coal preparation plant that are subject to the NSPS (including process and fugitive emissions)? Indicate whether the emissions are from process or conveying equipment (including breakers and crushers), coal storage systems (silos, covered storage), or coal transfer/feeders, conveyor transfer points, and loading points.
2 .	Have NSPS performance tests been conducted? If so, please send a copy of the test report(s) or provide the name of a contact from whom the reports can be obtained.
3.	Please provide information on the particle size distribution and the trace metal content of the particulate matter being emitted, if available.
4.	Supply data on sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxide emissions, if available.

	5.	. If opacity or visible emissions readings were taken during NSPS compliance tests, please supply data regorded.							
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
•	6.	Please provide the following information for any continuous opacity monitors that are in use:							
		a.	Manufacturer and	model number _					
		b.	ne control ec						
		c. Problems encountered using a continuous opacity monitor.							
			<u> </u>						
C.	The	rmal	Dryers						
indic			provide the follow	ing information	for each	piece of equ	ipment		
•	The	rmal	Dryers - Fuel Fir	ing					
Туре	Fue	1	Firing Type	Fuel Rate (lb/hr)	Ash Content %	% Sulfur	Calorific Value (Btu/lb)		

Type Fuel	Firing Type	Fuel Rate (lb/hr)	Ash Content %	% Sulfur	Calorific Value (Btu/lb)

Ther	mal Dryers - Gas Cleaning Equipment Data	·
•	Kind of control (venturi scrubbers, impingement scrubbers, others)	
	Volume of gas treated	
	Scrubbing liquid (e.g., water, etc.)	
	Scrubbing liquid inlet pressure, PSI	
	Liquid-to-gas ratio	
	Pressure drop across control device	
	Temperature of gases	Inlet°F
	•	Outlet°F
	Inlet loadings, gr/dscf	
	Outlet loadings, gr/dscf	
	Inlet gas composition (H_2O , SO_2 , $NO\chi$, etc.)	
	Outlet gas composition (H_2O , SO_2 , NO_X , etc.)	
	Disposal of collected material	
	Stack height and diameter (ft)	·
D.	Thermal Dryers - Pollution Control Equipment Cost	Data
	Please provide the following information for each and related equipment (such as fans, motors, etc.)	
	 Cost of the equipment: (please note what item the purchase cost). 	ns are included in
	a. Total purchase cost	
•	Control device	
	Auxiliary equipment	
	Instruments and controls	

	Taxes and Freight		·
	TOTAL-	A	
	Year purchased		
b. ·	Installation costs (state if this installation).	is a retro	fit or grassroots
	Foundations and supports	·	
	Erection and handling		
	Electrical		
	Piping and ductwork	•	
	Insulation		
	Painting		
	Site preparation		
	Facilities and buildings		
	TOTAL		
Tota	l purchase and installation cost		
Opera	ating Costs		
a.	Unit prices:		
	Operating labor, \$/hr		
	Maintenance labor, \$/hr		
	Electricity, S/kWh		
	Water (purchased), \$/1000 gal		
	Water (circulating)		
	Steam, \$/1000 lbs		
	Fuel, \$/million Btu	•	

2.

b.	Annual costs, \$/yr	
	Operating labor	
	Maintenance Labor	
	Electricity	
	Water	
	Steam	
	Fuel	
	Overhead	
	Taxes and insurance	
	Administration	
Tot	cal Annual Cost. \$/yr	

E. Suppression of Dust

- Please supply technical data on suppression techniques applied at your plant:
 - a. Do you use water suppression or wetting agent suppression techniques?
 - b. Is suppression applied for hooded or totally enclosed dust sources?
 - c. Describe equipment used to apply suppressants.
- 2. Please supply capital and operating cost data (as detailed as possible) on suppression techniques.

F. Other Control Equipment

For applications of emission capture devices, such as hooding of conveyors, conveyor transfer points, feeders, chutes, and ductwork, provide technical data (i.e., size, shape, construction material and others).

Provide cost data on the above items, if available.

G. Monitoring of Control Equipment for Thermal Dryers and Other Parameters

- 1. Please indicate which parameters are continuously or periodically monitored, e.g., pressure drop, temperature, pump pressure, flow rate, coal level, or coal quantities. Indicate the operating range of parameters. For which parameters are records kept and for how long?
- 2. Specify any problems you have encountered in meeting the monitoring requirements of the NSPS.
- 3. Provide suggestions you may have for revised monitoring requirements of the NSPS.

H. Miscellaneous

	For new facilities or expansion of existing facilities, is there a trend toward utilizing fabric filters in coal preparation plants?
2.	What growth rate in coal handling is anticipated for your company?
3.	Do you have any plans for expansion or modification of existing plant facilities within the next 5 years?
4.	Have you modified the coal handling equipment within the last 4 years? (Please describe any changes to improve production, to improve emissions capture and control, and to improve operation and maintenance, etc.)

	describe		re and c	ontrol	equipmen	t.	ires or	•
	·						·	
Please that o	e describe ontrol coa	required al dust e	winteri missions	zing of	control	devices,	if any	′,
								
	y any prob NSPS.	olems you	have en	counter	ed in me	eting the	requir	`en
	le suggesti oal prepara				vision o	f the cur	rent NS	 SPS
	 							